

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

49

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/886,881	07/02/97	ECKSTEIN		J	PA1220-C2
		IM22/0802	コ	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY		114227 0002		TARAZ	ANO,D
227 W MONROE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO IL	60606		• •	1773	15
				DATE MAILED:	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/02/00

•

Application No.

08/886,881

Applicant(s)

Eckstein et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

D. Lawrence Tarazano

Group Art Unit



	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 12, 2000		·
☐ This action is FINAL .		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excel in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		n as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is a is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fall application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extra 37 CFR 1.136(a).	lure to respond within the period	for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 8, 10-16, 18, 35-37, 41-49, and 51	is/are p	pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is	/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 8, 10-16, 18, 35-37, 41-49, and 51		
Claim(s)		/are objected to.
Claims		
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drain The drawing(s) filed on	bjected to by the Examiner. is approved er. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c) es of the priority documents hav Number) the International Bureau (PCT R	e been . ule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)		i
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 →		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	er No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	0-948	•
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	O 070	
	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/886,881 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

The request filed on 7-12-2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR
 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/886,881 is acceptable and a CPA has been established.
 An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schut "Enter a New Generation of Polyolefins", dated Nov 1991.

Claims 1 and 35 are directed to "structures comprising a polymer formed by the polymerization reaction with a single site catalyst".

Schut discloses on page 16, column 3 that EXXON has produced polymers coating for cable and wire applications in which these polymers were made by metallocene or single site catalysis page 15, column 2.

Application/Control Number: 08/886,881 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

4. Claims 1, 2, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meier et

al. (4,957,946).

Meier et al. teach coating compositions and coatings made thereof which comprise

metallocene iron catalysts that function as photo initiators for epoxide polymers (see the examples),

in which films are produced (column 11, lines 28-35).

5. Claims 1, 2, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palazotto

(5,089,536).

Palazotto also teaches the use of metallocene or single site species as polymerization initiators

(pages 13, and 14), see examples 1-4 which show single site catalysts, in which these metallocene

materials are single site in nature due to the cationic nature of the species. The examples show that

the materials are used to produce films.

While the applicant may intend to refer to olefinic polymerization, the examiner has included

the rejections over Meier et al. (4,957,946) and Palazotto (5,089,536) to show the breath of the

applicants' claims.

6. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 35, 36, 37, 41-44, 46, 47, 49, and 51 are rejected

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hodgson, Jr. (5,206,075).

Application/Control Number: 08/886,881

Art Unit: 1773

Hodgson teaches copolymers of ethylene and C₃.C₂₀ comonomers made by metallocene catalysis. These materials are used to produce multilayer films in which the base layer can comprise blend of more than one such polymer and a polypropylene species (column 7, lines 48-64) in which blends of ethylene-butene and ethylene-hexene copolymers are recited.

Regarding claims 16 and 49, since no density range is recited with the term LLDPE (linear low density polyethylene), the examiner takes the position that the second VLDPE (very low density polyethylene) recited in Hodgson would meet this limitation. Since VLDPE materials are low density and linear, they would be encompassed by the term LLDPE

Hodgson discloses the use of bis-cyclopentadienyl zirconium catalysts, an example of a single site zirconocene (metallocene) species (column 8, lines 26+).

7. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-14, 16, 18, 35-37, 41-47, 49, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lai et al. (5,272,236).

Lai et al. teaches films made from polymers produced using single site catalysts, see example 10 and the abstract.

The materials are copolymers of butene, 4-methyl-pentene, hexene and octene (column 3, lines 41+). These polymers can be produced in to films by conventional methods (column 14, line 11) and the example 10 shows the production of blown films. The polymers of the invention can be formed into blends with materials such as LLDPE or other substantially linear materials (column 14, lines 31+).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/886,881

Art Unit: 1773

While the material produced by Lai et al. are formed by a constrained geometry catalyst, which has a single cylcopentadienyl ligand, the applicants state that their term "metallocene" encompasses such structures, (page 7, lines 13+ and figure 4).

8. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-11, 13-15, 35-37, 41-44, and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hodgson et al. (5,376,439).

Hodgson et al. teach narrow molecular weight polyethylene blends formed into films comprising high density polyethylene cores (column 2, lines 45+) or into monolayer structures.

The narrow molecular weight very low density ethylene polymer, (single site catalyzed polymer) used as the first component of the films are copolymers of ethylene and C_4 - C_{20} alpha-olefins: recited materials include ethylene/butene, ethylene/hexene, and ethylene/ octene copolymers (column 3, lines 60+), in which these materials are made by single site (metallocene) catalysis (column 7, lines 14+).

The above mentioned first component is blended with a second material such as LDPE. See example 1 which show production of a mono-layer film from the blend.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 08/886,881

Art Unit: 1773

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 12 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodgson et al (5,206,075) or Hodgson (5,376,439).

As discussed above each teach the production copolymers of ethylene and C_3 - C_{20} olefins and films made thereof. The applicants claim an ethylene / 4-methyl-pentene copolymer made in to a film.

Methyl-pentene is merely an example of a (C₆) alpha-olefin, which would fall within the types of comonomers suggested by either Hodgson patent.

In the absence of unexpected results related to using 4-methyl-pentene as a comonomer, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used 4-methyl-pentene as a commoner in the production of polymers and films taught by ether Hodgson et al (5,206,075) or Hodgson (5,376,439) since this is merely choosing one monomer from those generically suggested and would provide the same function as any other olefin used.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10-16, 18, 35-37, 41-49, and 51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Upon review of the claims and the specification the examiner had withdrawn the previous rejection of claims 15 and 48 under 112 first paragraph. It appears that the LDPE material is the

Art Unit: 1773

second component of the blend, and can be conventional LDPE made by high pressure free radical processes. The claim does not specifically refer to LDPE made by metallocene catalysis.

Page 7

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (703) 308-2379. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

The official fax number for the art unit is (703)-305-3599. The special fax number for amendments after final is (703)-305-5408. The number for unofficial faxes is (703)-305-5436.

D. Lawrence Tarazano Patent Examiner

July 31, 2000-